PCC Framework for Program-Generators

Soonho Kong Wontae Choi Kwangkeun Yi

Programming Research Lab. Seoul National University {soon,wtchoi,kwang}@ropas.snu.ac.kr

I5 August 2009 Workshop on Proof-Carrying Code and Software Certification

Introduction

- Program-Generators
 - Need to ensure the safe execution of the generated programs as well as the generator itself.



- Safety properties of the generated programs are efficiently expressed by the grammar G.
 e.g. "generated programs should not have nested loops"
- Question:

"Do the generated programs conform to the safety grammar ${f G}$?"

Introduction

- Abstract Parsing
 - Powerful static string analysis technique presented by Doh, Kim, and Schmidt[1]
 - Determine whether the strings generated in the program conform to the given grammar G.
 - Use LR parser as a component
 - Formalized and parameterized in the abstract interpretation framework by Kong, Choi and Yi[2]

I. Kyung-Goo Doh, Hyunha Kim, and David Schmidt. "Abstract parsing: static analysis of dynamically generated string output using LR-parsing technology." In Proceeeding of the International Static Analysis Symposium, 2009.

^{2.} Soonho Kong, Wontae Choi, Kwangkeun Yi. "Abstract Parsing for Two-staged Languages with Concatenation" International Conference on Generative Programming and Component Engineering, (to appear), 2009.



Safety grammar is shared between code producer and consumer.



In code producer side, abstract parser computes fixed-point solution for the given program-generator.



Code producer sends the program-generator with the computed fixed-point solution.



Code consumer receives an untrusted program-generator and an accompanied fixed-point solution.





If the checker validates it successfully,

the code consumer is ready to execute the received program-generator.

Program-Generator



• Tow-staged language with concatenation

Syntax

 $e \in Exp ::= x \mid \text{let } x e_1 e_2 \mid \text{or } e_1 e_2 \mid \text{re } x e_1 e_2 e_3 \mid `f$ $f \in Frag ::= x \mid \text{let} \mid \text{or} \mid \text{re} \mid (\mid) \mid f_1.f_2 \mid ,e$































Example





 Instead of executing the program and parsing the result,

 $[e]^{0}\Sigma = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\} \quad parse(c_i) = O/X$

• Define abstract semantics using parse stack and execute the program on it.

$$\hat{[e]}^{0} \Sigma \{p_{init}\} = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$$

$$Over-approximation of the parsing result of all the generated programs$$

- Q:What should be the abstract value for Code c?
- A: Parse Stack Transition Function



Code concatenation => Function Composition

- Abstract parsing semantics of the program Pgm is used to determine whether generated programs conform to the grammar G.
- If AbstractParsing(Pgm, G)({P_{init}}) = {P_{acc}}, then we can conclude that generated programs conform to the grammar G. Otherwise not.



Abstract Parsing in PCC Framework

- Need abstract parsing semantics to certify the program.
- Semantic equations are derived from the program directly.
- Loop is the only component to require fixed-point computation.
- Certificate in our framework: <u>the fixed-point solution for every loop in the program</u>.

Certificate Generation



Certificate Generation with Example

• Safety Grammar $E \rightarrow id \mid (E) \mid \text{let } id \mid E \mid e \mid e \mid E$ I) syntactically correct, 2) contain no loops



Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar

Certificate Generation with Example

Example Program

re x `a `(. ,x .) let y `or . a `,y . ,x

Semantic Equation

Certificate Generation with Example

Example Program



Semantic Equation

$$P = X \circ Y$$
Example Program



$$P = X \circ Y$$

Example Program





Example Program



$$P = X \triangleright Y$$
$$Y = \lambda P.PA(PA(P, \texttt{or}), \texttt{a})$$

Example Program



Semantic Equation

$$\begin{split} P &= X \circ Y \\ Y &= \lambda P.PA(PA(P, \texttt{or}), \texttt{a}) \\ T &= fix \lambda T.\lambda s.(PA(s, \texttt{a}) \cup \\ \lambda P.PA(P,)) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, ()s) \\ X &= \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \end{split}$$

Example Program



Semantic Equation

$$\begin{split} P &= X \circ Y \\ Y &= \lambda P.PA(PA(P, \texttt{or}), \texttt{a}) \\ T &= \textit{fix} \lambda T.\lambda s \underbrace{(PA(s, \texttt{a}))}_{\lambda P.PA(P,)} \circ \lambda P.\textit{reduce}(T(\textit{top}(P)) @\textit{tail}(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, ()s) \\ X &= \lambda P.\textit{reduce}(T(\textit{top}(P)) @\textit{tail}(P)) \end{split}$$

Example Program



$$\begin{split} P &= X \circ Y \\ Y &= \lambda P.PA(PA(P, \texttt{or}), \texttt{a}) \\ T &= fix \lambda T.\lambda s.(PA(s, \texttt{a}) \cup \\ \hline \lambda P.PA(P,)) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, ()s) \\ X &= \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \end{split}$$

Example Program



Semantic Equation

$$\begin{split} P &= X \circ Y \\ Y &= \lambda P.PA(PA(P, \texttt{or}), \texttt{a}) \\ T &= fix \lambda T.\lambda s.(PA(s, \texttt{a}) \cup \\ \lambda P.PA(P,)) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, ()s) \\ X &= \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \end{split}$$

Example Program



$$\begin{split} P &= X \circ Y \\ Y &= \lambda P.PA(PA(P,\texttt{or}),\texttt{a}) \\ T &= fix\lambda T.\lambda s.(PA(s,\texttt{a}) \cup \\ \lambda P.PA(P,\texttt{)}) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P,\texttt{()}s) \\ X &= \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \end{split}$$

Example Program



$$\begin{split} P &= X \circ Y \\ Y &= \lambda P.PA(PA(P, \texttt{or}), \texttt{a}) \\ T &= fix \lambda T.\lambda s.(PA(s, \texttt{a}) \cup \\ \lambda P.PA(P,)) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, ()s) \\ X &= \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \end{split}$$





Example Program





Semantic Equation

 $P = X \circ Y \qquad P(s_1$ $Y = \lambda P.PA(PA(P, or), a)$

 $P(s_1) = X \circ Y(s_1)$ = $X \circ PA(PA(s_1, \text{or}), a)$



Semantic Equation



Semantic Equation



Semantic Equation





Semantic Equation

 $T = fix \lambda T.\lambda s.(PA(s, \mathbf{a}) \cup$

 $\lambda P.PA(P,)) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, ()s)$



Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar

Semantic Equation

 $T = fix \lambda T.\lambda s (PA(s, \mathbf{a}) \cup \lambda P.PA(P, \mathbf{J})) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, \mathbf{J})s)$



Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar

Semantic Equation



Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar



Semantic Equation

 $T = fix \lambda T.\lambda s.(PA(s, \mathbf{a}) \cup$

 $\lambda P.PA(P,)) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, ()s))$



Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar

Semantic Equation

$$\begin{split} T = fix \lambda T.\lambda s. (PA(s, \textbf{a}) \cup \\ \lambda P.PA(P, \textbf{)}) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P)) @tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, \textbf{()}s) \end{split}$$



Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar

Semantic Equation



Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar

Semantic Equation



59/82

Semantic Equation

 $T = fix\lambda T.\lambda s.(PA(s, \mathbf{a}) \cup$

 $\lambda P.PA(P,)) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, ()s))$



Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar

Ist Iteration Done.

Semantic Equation

 $T = fix\lambda T.\lambda s.(PA(s, \mathbf{a}) \cup$ $\lambda P.PA(P,)) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))) @ ail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, ()s)$ $T(s_4) = s_6 s_4$ $s_8 \rightarrow s_4 s_8$ s7: E -> (E). s6: E -> (E .) ┫) – $s_8 \mapsto s_9 s_8$ s2: S -> E . s9: E -> or E E . s4: E -> (.E) $s_4 \mapsto s_6 s_4$ E Е or s1: S -> . E s8: E -> or E . E id F or id id or s5: E -> or . E E s3: E -> id .

Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar

Semantic Equation



Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar



Semantic Equation



Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar

Semantic Equation

 $T = fix\lambda T.\lambda s.(PA(s, \mathbf{a}) \cup$

 $\lambda P.PA(P,)) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, ()s))$



Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar

Semantic Equation

 $T = fix\lambda T.\lambda s.(PA(s, \mathbf{a}) \cup$ $\lambda P.PA(P,)) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P)) \odot tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, ()s)$ $T(s_4) = s_6 s_4 \qquad \qquad s_4 \to s_4 s_4$ s7: E -> (E). s6: E -> (E .) ┫) – $s_8 \mapsto s_9 s_8$ s2: S -> E . s9: E -> or E E . s4: E -> (.E) $s_4 \mapsto s_6 s_4$ E or s1: S -> . E s8: E -> or E . E id E or id id or s5: E -> or . E E s3: E -> id .

Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar

Semantic Equation



Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar

Semantic Equation



Part of the LR(0) parsing controller for the safety grammar

Semantic Equation



69/82

Semantic Equation



70/82

• Code producer sends the program and computed fixed-point solution.



Certificate Check


Received Program



Received Fixed-point solution



Received Fixed-point solution

 $s_8 \mapsto s_9 s_8$

 $s_4 \mapsto s_6 s_4$

Semantic Equation $P = X \circ Y$ $Y = \lambda P.PA(PA(P, or), a)$ $T = fix\lambda T.\lambda s.(PA(s, a) \cup \lambda P.PA(P,)) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, ()s)$ $X = \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P))$

3. Using the fixed-point solution, construct abstract parsing semantics of the program.

$$P = \{s_1 \mapsto s_1 s_2\}$$

Received Fixed-point solution

 $s_8 \mapsto s_9 s_8$

 $s_4 \mapsto s_6 s_4$

Semantic Equation $P = X \circ Y$ $Y = \lambda P.PA(PA(P, or), a)$ $T = fix\lambda T.\lambda s.(PA(s, a) \cup \lambda P.PA(P,)) \circ \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P)) \circ \lambda P.PA(P, ()s)$ $X = \lambda P.reduce(T(top(P))@tail(P))$

3. Using the fixed-point solution, construct abstract parsing semantics of the program. (Accept Parse Stack)

$$P = \{s_1 \mapsto s_1 s_2\}$$

Summary

- Our framework addresses two fundamental PCC issues.
 - I. The certificate, a fixed-point solution, is generated <u>automatically</u> by abstract parser.
 - 2. Checking procedure on the code consumer side is done <u>efficiently</u> by validating the received fixed-point solution.

Issues

- Two issues need further investigation.
 - I. Size of the certificate:

Certificate in our framework: <u>the fixed-point solution for every loop in the program</u>.

- O(# of loops) : linear to the program size

Issues

- Two issues need further investigation.
 - I. Size of the certificate:
 - O(# of parse states)
 - # of parse states is fixed with the given grammar.



 $ParseState \rightarrow 2^{ParseStack}$

Issues

- Two issues need further investigation.
 - 2. Complexity of the checker:
 - As complex as the certificate generator
 Need to derive the same semantic equations.
 Need to implement all the abstract operators.
 - Shared problem with other abstract-carrying code frameworks.

Future Work

- Work is in progress
 - Implement the abstract parser and do the experiment.

Thank You